



P.O. Box 34553  
Washington, DC 20043  
202-448-9090  
foia@democracyforward.org

August 31, 2017

**VIA Electronic Delivery**

Office of Information Programs and Services  
A/GIS/IPS/RL  
Department of State, SA-2  
Washington, DC 20522  
Fax: 202-261-8579

**Re: Freedom of Information Act Records Request**

Dear FOIA Officer:

Democracy Forward Foundation makes this request on behalf of Muslim Advocates pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. §§ 552 *et seq.*, and Department of State ("State") FOIA regulations beginning at 22 C.F.R. Part 171.

*Background*

In April 2017, former Secretary Kelly made remarks to George Washington University in which he discussed "radical Islam" and the threat that he believed it posed to the United States. In that speech, he discussed 36 terrorist cases that had been identified in the prior 12 months. All of those cases were identified as "jihadist." See *Home and Away: DHS and the Threats to America*, DHS (Apr. 18, 2017),

<https://www.dhs.gov/news/2017/04/18/home-and-away-dhs-and-threats-america>.

The Administration's focus, including by State, on "radical Islam" has been widely reported.

See Emily Tamkin et al., *Trump's Focus on 'Radical Islam' Downplays Growing Risk from Right-Wing Extremism, Experts Fear*, Foreign Policy, Feb. 15, 2017,

<http://foreignpolicy.com/2017/02/15/trumps-focus-on-radical-islam-downplays-growing-risk-from-right-wing-extremism-experts-fear/>; Julia Edwards Ainsley et al., *Exclusive: Trump to Focus Counter-Extremism Program Solely on Islam-Sources*, Reuters, Feb. 1, 2017,

<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-trump-extremists-program-exclusiv-idUSKBN15G5VO>.

## *Records Requested*

In an effort to understand, and explain to the public, the government's work regarding what it terms "radical Islam," Muslim Advocates requests that State produce the following within twenty (20) business days:

- All records discussing or using the phrases: radical Islam, radical Islamic terrorism, Islamist, Islamist extremist, Islamic extremist, jihadi, jihadist, sharia, or derivations thereof (including, for example, the substitution of "terrorist" for "terrorism" and "extremism" for "extremist").

The time period for this request is from January 20, 2017 to the date the search is conducted.

Please search the following State components, including but not limited to subcomponents thereof: the Bureau of Counterterrorism and Countering Violent Extremism, the Office of the Secretary, and the Bureau of Legislative Affairs.

Please search for records regardless of format, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical materials. This request includes, without limitation, all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, calendar entries, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, minutes, or audio or video recordings of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

FOIA requires agencies to disclose information, with only limited exceptions for information that would harm an interest protected by a specific exemption or where disclosure is prohibited by law. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(8)(A). In the event that any of the requested documents cannot be disclosed in their entirety, we request that you release any material that can be reasonably segregated. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Should any documents or portions of documents be withheld, we further request that you state with specificity the description of the document to be withheld and the legal and factual grounds for withholding any documents or portions thereof in an index, as required by *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). Should any document include both disclosable and non-disclosable material that cannot reasonably be segregated, we request that you describe what proportion of the information in a document is non-disclosable and how that information is dispersed throughout the document. *Mead Data Cent., Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

If requested records are located in, or originated in, another agency, department, office, installation or bureau, please refer this request or any relevant portion of this request to the appropriate entity.

To the extent that the records are readily reproducible in an electronic format, we would prefer to receive the records in that format. However, if certain records are not available in that format, we are willing to accept the best available copy of each such record.

Please respond to this request in writing within 20 working days as required under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i). If all of the requested documents are not available within that time period, we

request that you provide us with all requested documents or portions of documents that are available within that time period. If all relevant records are not produced within that time period, we are entitled to a waiver of fees for searching and duplicating records under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(viii)(I).

### *Request for Fee Waiver*

Muslim Advocates requests a waiver of document search, review, and duplication fees because the disclosure of the requested records is in the public interest and because disclosure is “likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). Muslim Advocates also requests a waiver of search fees on the ground that it qualifies as a “representative of the news media” and the records are not sought for commercial use. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

The disclosure of requested records is “likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government” because, as discussed above, news accounts underscore the substantial public interest in the records sought through this request. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). Given the ongoing and widespread media attention, the records sought will contribute significantly to public understanding of an issue of profound public importance. Moreover, Muslim Advocates is a nonprofit organization organized under Internal Revenue Code § 501(c)(3) and is dedicated to promoting policy engagement and civic education. As a nonprofit organization, it does not have a commercial interest in the records. The records obtained from this request will be made available to the public at no cost.

Muslim Advocates also requests a waiver of search fees because it is a “representative of the news media” and the records are not sought for commercial use. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). Muslim Advocates meets the definition of “representative[s] of the news media” because it gathers information, exercises editorial discretion in selecting and organizing documents, and “distributes the resulting work to the public.” *Nat’l Sec. Archive v. U.S. Dep’t of Defense*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989). It is therefore a “representative of the news media” for the same reasons it is “primarily engaged in disseminating information.” 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II), 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II). Muslim Advocates has performed its function as a representative of the news media with regard to past FOIA requests. For example, Muslim Advocates joined with the American Civil Liberties Union in disseminating the records obtained from U.S. Customs and Border Protection in response to a joint 2010 FOIA request.<sup>1</sup> Additionally, in 2009, Muslim Advocates pursued FOIA litigation against the Federal Bureau of Investigation that resulted in public disclosure of previously withheld information.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Invasive Questioning at the Border*, ACLU (last visited Aug. 29, 2017), <https://www.aclu.org/invasive-questioning-border?redirect=free-speech-national-security-racial-justice-religion-belief/invasive-questioning-border>.

<sup>2</sup> See *Press Advisory: U.S. Department of Justice Continues to Cloak Public Access to Guidelines on FBI Surveillance of Mosques & Communities*, Muslim Advocates (Oct. 15, 2009),

If the request for a waiver is denied, we are willing to pay all reasonable fees incurred for searching and duplicating records in responding to this request, up to \$100. If the costs of responding to this request should exceed that amount, please contact us before incurring costs exceeding that amount.

*Conclusion*

If you need clarification as to the scope of the request, have any questions, or foresee any obstacles to releasing fully the requested records within the 20-day period, please contact Robin Thurston as soon as possible at [rthurston@democracyforward.org](mailto:rthurston@democracyforward.org) or 202-771-1775.

We appreciate your assistance and look forward to your prompt response.

Sincerely,

/s/ Robin Thurston  
Democracy Forward Foundation  
*Counsel for Muslim Advocates*